UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL MISSOURI OMB CIRCULAR A-133 SINGLE AUDIT REPORT JUNE 30, 2012

Contents

| | Page |
|--|---------|
| Independent Auditors Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of Financial Statements Performed In Accordance WithGovernment Auditing Standards | |
| Independent Auditors Report On Compliance With Requirements That Could Have A Direct And Material Effect On Each Major Program And On Internal Control Over Compliance In Accordance With OMB Circular A-133 And On The Schedule Of Expenditures Of Federal Awards | 5 |
| Schedule Of Expenditures Of Federal Awards | 5 - 8 |
| Notes To Schedule Of Expenditues Of Federal Awards | .9 - 10 |
| Schedule Of Findings And Questioned Costs | 11 - 35 |
| Summary Schedule Of Prior Audit Findings | . 36 |



RubinBrown LLP
Certified Public Accountants
& Business Consultants

10975 Grandview Drive Suite 600 Overland Park, KS 66210

T 913.491.4144
F 913.491.6821

W rubinbrown.com
E info@rubinbrown.com

Independent Auditors' Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of Financial Statements Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

Board of Governors The University Warrensburg, Missouri

We have audited the financial statements of University of Central Missouri (the University) as of and for the year ended Junão, 2012 and have issued our report thereon dated November 5, 2012. We conducted ourdatuin accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of Merica and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the University is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls over financial reporting. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the University's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing opinion on the effectiveness of the University internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the University internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the north course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correctistatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of defincies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material missatement of the entity s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.



Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this stion and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over finacial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, we identified certain fleiencies in internal control over financial reporting, described in the accompanying beduled of findings and questioned costbat we consider to be significant deficiencies internal control over financial reporting as items 2012-1 through 2012-3 significant deficiency is a deficiency or combination of deficiencies in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Compliance And Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance bout whether the University's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, coracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing appinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, according we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instance finance or other matters that are required to be reported unde Government Auditing Standards.

The University's responses to the findings entified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questionecosts. We did not audit the University's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the infonation and use of management, the Board of Governors and federal awarding agencies and pas-through entities, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

November 5, 2012

In our opinion, the University complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year endedude 30, 2012. However, the results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of oncompliance with thos requirements, which are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2012-4 through 2012-12.

Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the University is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs. Inplanning and performing our audit, we considered the University's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a majorederal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion time effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the University's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exits when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow managemeter employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevenor detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federarogram on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance as deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of corhaptice requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and wast designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material eaknesses, as defined above. However, we identified certain deficiencies in internal controls over compliance that we consider to be significant deficiencies as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as items 2012-4 through 2012-12 ignificant deficiency in internal controls over compliance is a diciency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance quirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

The University's responses to the findings entified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. We did not audit the University's responses and, accordinglywe express no opinion on the responses.

Schedule Of Expenditures Of Federal Awards

We have audited the basic financial statements the University as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012, and have issued open thereon dated November 5, 20,1 which contained an unqualified opinion on those finacial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming our opinion of the financial statements that collectively comprise the University's financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a requirement of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other recordsed to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the autiliary procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to thenderlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or toetfinancial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with uditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Inour opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relaion to the financial statements as a whole.

The purpose of this report is solely to descerithe scope of our testing of compliance with the types of compliance requirements applicable each of the University s major programs and our testing of internal control over configure and the results of our testing, and to provide an opinion on the University s comfigure but not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the University s internal controller compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the University's compliance with requirements applicable to each major program and its internal control over compliance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

November 19, 2013

(except for paragraph 8, which is dated November 5, 2012)

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

| Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor/ Program or Cluster Title | Federal Pas CFDA Entity I Number | s-Through dentifying Number | Federal Expenditures |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| U.S. Department Of Education | | | |
| Student Financial Aid Cluster Academic Competitiveness Grant TEACH Grants Federal SEOG Federal Work Study Federal Pell Grants Federal Direct Student Loans Federal Perkins Loan | 84.375 84.379 84.007 84.033 84.063 84.268 84.038 | | \$ 1,575 115,500 168,587 296,442 14,864,327 67,606,254 760,764 |
| Total Student Financial Aid Cluster | | | 83,813,449 |
| TRIO Cluster Student Support Services McNair Central Achievers Program Total TRIO Cluster | 84.042 84.217 | | 275,495 215,762 491,257 |
| Benchmarking International Homeland Security Ed. | 84.116 | | 15,579 |
| Preventing High Risk Drinking | 84.184 | | 23,525 |
| Passed Through Missouri Department Of Elementary And Seco | ndary Education | | |
| Migrant English Language Learning Migrant English Language Learning | 84.011 84.011 | unknown unknown | (47) 178,648 |

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS (Continued) For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

| Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor/ Program or Cluster Title | Federal CFDA Ei Number | Pass-Through ntity Identifying Number | Ехр | Federal enditures |
|--|------------------------------|---|-----|----------------------|
| U.S. Department Of Transportation | | | | |
| Highway Safety Cluster - Direct | | | | |
| NHTSA Central Region Diversity Outreach Liaison | 20.600 | | \$ | 36,559 |
| NHTSA Central Region Law Enforcement Liaison | 20.600 | | | 63,481 |
| Total Highway Safety Cluster - Direct | | | | 100,040 |
| Passed Through Missouri Division Of Highway Safety | | | | |
| Highway Safety Cluster - Indirect | | | | |
| Driver Improvement Program | 20.600 | #11-DE-02-2 | | 12,615 |

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS (Continued) For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

| Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor/ | CFDA Entity | <i>3 0</i> | | Federal |
|---|-------------|------------|------|-----------|
| Program or Cluster Title | Number | Number | Expe | enditures |
| U.S. Department Of Agriculture | | | | |
| Child and Adult Care Food Program | 10.558 | | \$ | 54,805 |
| Public TV Station Digital Transition | 10.861 | | | 576,246 |
| Total U.S. Department Of Agriculture | | | \$ | 631,051 |
| National Endowment For The Arts Performing Arts Series 2010 | 45.025 | | \$ | (114) |

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS June 30, 2012

1. Organization

The accompanying schedule of expenditures federal awards presents the activity of all federal award programs of University fentral Missouri (the University) for the year endedJune 30, 2012. All federal awards eceived directly from federal agencies, as well as federal awards passed rough other governmental agencies, are included on the schedule.

2. Basis Of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of the University under pograms of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2012. The information this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of the fice of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, Audits of State, Local Governme. Of d.q.e wPrLocal Lammfame

Notes To Schedule Of Expenditures Of Federal Award (Continued)

4. Federal Student Loan Programs

The federal student loan programs listed susequently are administered directly by the University and balances and transacions relating to these programs are included in the University s basic financial statements. Loans made during the year are included in the federal expenditures persented in the schedule. The balance of loans outstanding at June 30, 2012 consists of:

| | | Out | tstanding |
|--------------------|----------------------|-----|-----------|
| CFDA Number | Program Name | | Balance |
| | | | _ |
| 84.038 | Perkins Loan Program | \$ | 8,363,568 |

The University is responsible only for the performance of certain administrative duties with respect to the Federal Directorn Program and, accordingly, it is not practical to determine the balance of alos outstanding to students and former students of the University under this program at June 30, 2012.

5. Subrecipients

Of the federal expenditures presented in its schedule, the University provided no federal awards to subrecipients.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued)
For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

Section II - Financial Statement Findings

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

x The University Budget Managers access their online budget reports which also provide the detailed disbursement activity. A fraudulent transaction by the Accounts Payable Manager charged to one their departments would in most cases be detected by the budget managers.

The Office of Technology has developed a report is now being used by the Director of Treasury Services to routinely monitor all additions and modifications to the vendor master file.

Responsible Official: Susan Brockhaus, Sr. Director Treasury Services

Date Of Completion: October 15, 2012

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

Finding: 2012-2 - Significant Deficiency

Criteria or specific requirement: Lack of segregation of duties and lack of timely reconciliations related to the payroll process.

Condition: Within the payroll cycle, the Payroll Director and Payroll Specialist perform or have the ability to perform virtually all access, recording, and monitoring functions.

Cause: Segregation of duties issues arise due tionited staff in the Payroll department and the need for multiple staff to have duplicate access in order to back up other staff in the case of absences.

Effect: The potential for misappropriation of University assets exists due to the lack of segregation of duties. In addition, errors $\hbar e$ occurred that were not always detected and corrected timely because the reconciliation pr

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

- x Adjustments to master files by the payroditaff are necessary to correct user errors and/or omissions. Banner records the tranction as an override. All overrides required by the payroll staff prior to the time sheet deadlines are system stamped with the payroll employee s name, and the da and time of the action. Corrections after the payroll process has begun requipayroll intervention. Documentation is required by the department for the payroditaff to correct any master files. The exception documentation is retained by the payroll department.
- x All payroll transactions require labor distribution to be recorded. Labor information is fed to the general ledger after any payroll event is finalized.
- x Accounting Services reconciles the payroll bank statement monthly.
- x Labor expenses by employee/amount aravailable to each department budget manager through NHIDIST for review. Budget managers are expected to monitor for any inconsistencies.
- x Labor charges are again available by employe/amount to each department with the month-end accounting reports. Budget managers are expected to monitor for any inconsistencies and/or errors.
- x Budget levels are encumbered and thermonitored by the University's budget analyst. Should any organization exceed their budgeted personnel services (student payroll included) during the fiscal year, the budget office contacts the department. The departments are responsible for any evages and subsequently investigate the shortages. This information is available immediately after each payroll is finalized.
- x The University utilizes Banner Position Control where all full time positions are controlled by encumbering the anticipate employee expense per position at the beginning of the fiscal year. The Provost Office monitors the faculty positions to insure shortages are investigated and funded by each department. Encumbrances are updated after each payroll is finalized for projection of payroll expenses for the remainder of the fiscal year.
- x Once each payroll is finalized, two autmated Argos anomaly reports are circulated to the Payroll Director, the Payroll Specilist and the Assistant Director of Human Resources. One report lists any employeeseceiving a gross of more than \$15,000 for the pay period. The other report list imployees whose pay for the period exceeds their last payroll by more than two tinse All employee payments making the list are reviewed immediately.

Reconciliations:

x Currently, all Banner related reports (original and adjustment payroll registers, original and adjustment deduction reports, original and adjustment finance interface reports, original and adjustmenteports for ACH deposits and checks) are available to staff in Accounting Serices, Budgeting and Human Resources immediately following the finalization of each payroll.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

x Adjustments to original payrolls are corently performed in a timely manner; however, the financial system design requires the original payroll event be adjusted. It is not always possible to process the assignment in the same month, or fiscal year, as the original event. An example wouldbe when an employee is paid on the last day of the month and an ACH deposit is terned from the bank the following day. As a result, it is not physically possible tadjustment and post to finance the same business month.

Corrective Action Plan:

- x The University is planning on implementing an Electronic Personnel Action Form (EPAF) process for hiring student employees the spring of 2013. The EPAF will allow University departments to complete process of hiring student employees electronically, the Office of Human Resorces to electronically approve the job assignments and apply them directly to Baner. This will significantly reduce the number of jobs entered directly by Payroll Services, and will reduce the opportunity for possible fraud.
- x The Payroll Office will benchmark other Banner institutions process improvement and best practices. Any spreadsheets driver queries will be made available to Accounting Services for review as time allows prior to an effective pay date.

Payroll and benefit related accounts will be reconciled in a timely manner.

Responsible Official: Tena Duncan, Payroll Director

Expected Date Of Completion: January 1, 2013

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

Finding: 2012-3 - Significant Deficiency

Criteria or specific requirement: Lack of segregation of duties related to student accounts.

Condition: Within the accounts receivable and cash receipts cycle, the Director of Student Accounts (the Director) has the ability to receipt or be the custodian of payments and perform one or more recording functions and ding generating credit memos or billing adjustments, changing the online billing and making a credit entry to credit student accounts. In addition, the Director can alsperform one of the monitoring functions of issuing monthly statements to studentsor performing an accounts receivable reconciliation. With these capabilities, the Director can access, record, and monitor transactions within the accounts receivable and cash receipts cycle.

Cause: Segregation of duties issues arise dute limited staff in the Student Accounts department and the need for multiple staff thave duplicative access in order to back up other staff in the case of absences.

Effect: The potential for misappropriation of University assets exists due to the lack of segregation of duties.

Recommendation: We recommend that the University consider having someone outside the Student Accounts process complete monthlyieavs of all the activity processed by the Director during the month including credits accounts, payments processed, and billing statements prepared.

Corrective Action Plan: The Director of Student Accounts is responsible for the coordination of student billing. The billing process is handled by the University s Office of Technology department through a computer-generated process. Manual adjustments to the student account through the suance of a credit are handled by the Director of Student Accounts at the recommendation of other University departmental staff after their review has been completed. The majority of creditssied are system generated during the course of University business.

The Director provides oversight for a number of sh related transactions. However, the Director rarely accepts payments or maintains cash drawer. The Director is not involved in the nightly reconciliation of cash in the only bank deposit. The Director transports the locked bank bag to the depository on a nightly basis.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

As the resident expert of accounts receivabilizations, the Director does have system security that allows access to all functions of the student and third-party accounts receivable. In addition, in the absence of sift, the Director is responsible for completing staff duties. Any transaction made by the Direction the system is inhibitiable by user ID.

The Office of Technology has created a repothat shows all transactions made by the Director for the Sr. Director of Accounting Services and Student Accounts to review on a periodic basis beginning fiscal year 2013.

Responsible Official: Donna Bodenhamer, Director of Student Accounts & Loans

Date Of Completion: September 26, 2012

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

Section III - Federal Award Findings And Questioned Costs

Finding: 2012-4 - Significant Deficiency

Federal Award No. 84.007, 84.033, 84.038, 84.063, 84.268, 84.375, 84.379 Student Financial Aid Cluster

Criteria: According to the 2011-2012 Federal Studie Aid Handbook, Volume 2, Chapter 5, All schools are required to report (using E-App) to the Department adding an Addo Q2wi.13be off Mac and Q2wi.13

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

Finding: 2012-5 - Significant Deficiency

Federal Award No. 84.007, 84.033, 84.038, 84.063, 84.268, 84.375, 84.379 Student Financial Aid Cluster

Criteria: According to the Federal Student Ali Handbook, Volume 2, Chapter 6, a University must confirm that the student hasompleted face-to-face or online counseling, or that the student has been mailed exitate counseling material within 30 days of learning that the student has withdrawn of failed to participate in an exit counseling session.

Condition: In our sample of 40 students, there was no documentation of exit counseling for 26 students. All 26 of these students left the University prior to May 2012.

Effect: Students are not properly informed of their responsibilities for the repayment of loans received once leaving the University.

Questioned Costs: There were no questioned costs to report as the finding relates only to exit counseling and is not related to eligible. During the current year the Department of Education completed a review of the Wersity s 2010-2011 and 2011-2012 award years and found similar errors with the exit counseling process. Penalties, if any, for these errors are unknown at the time of this report.

Cause: The University does not appear to have ad proper processes and related controls in place, prior to May 2012, to complete the texounseling requirements for all students who have graduated or unofficially withdrawn whin 30 days of the date of graduation or the date that the University determines that the student has withdrawn.

Recommendation: RubinBrown recommends that the Financial Aid department review and consider revisions to their processes and atted controls in place to complete the exit counseling requirements for all students withhave graduated or unofficially withdrawn within 30 days of the date of graduation to the date that the University determines that the student has withdrawn. It was noted that all students leaving the University after May 2012, exit counseling was occurring and a new process had been put into place.

Corrective Action Plan: The Office of Financial Assistance of students that have withdrawn or graduated by reports or by the Office of Student Engagement. Once notified, the loan processing staff will sendnatice of exit loan counseling to a student s personal email or last known personal addresshat is on file in Banner. This will be completed within 30 days of their official or fificial last date of attendance or graduation date.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

Responsible Official: Angela Karlin, Director of Student Financial Assistance

Date Of Completion: September 4, 2012

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

Finding: 2012-6 - Significant Deficiency

Federal Award No. 84.007, 84.033, 84.038, 84.063, 84.268, 84.375, 84.379 Student Financial Aid Cluster

Criteria: According to the 2011-2012 Federal Send Aid Handbook, Volume 4, Chapter 1, when loan funds are being credited to austent s account, the school must also notify the student or parent in writing of the acipated date and amount of the disbursement, student s (or parent s) right to cancel all part of the loan or disbursement, and procedures and the time by which the student (parent) must notify the school that he or she wishes to cancel the loan or disbursent. Due to the University obtaining affirmative confirmation, the notification must be sent no earlier than 30 days before and no later than 30 days after crediting the student s account.

Condition: Out of our sample of 40 students; 60 students it was noted that the University was not sending letters/emails/notificiants to students of their anticipated date and amount of disbursement, rights to cancel procedures to cancel within 30 days

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

Corrective Action Plan: The Financial Aid Office has created processes that will notify all students (or parents) of the disburseme of their loan proceeds to their student accounts. A notice will be sent to all neighbor within the 30 day timeframe with fund, date of disbursement and amount to all borwers via their University email account. In the case of a PLUS loan, parental email addresses will be used or a paper notice will be sent to those parents without an email on file.

Responsible Official: Angela Karlin, Director of Student Financial Assistance

Date Of Completion: September 28, 2012

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

Finding: 2012-7 - Significant Deficiency

Federal Award No. 84.007, 84.033, 84.038, 84.063, 84.268, 84.375, 84.379 Student Financial Aid Cluster

Criteria: According to the 2011-2012 Federatudent Aid Handbook, Volume 4, Chapter 1, Unless a student or parent (in the case of a Parent PLUS loan) authorizes a school to hold a credit balance, the credit balancest be paid to the student or parent as soon as possible, but no later than 14 caleanddays after the balance is created (or 14 calendar days after the first day of class if the credit balance was created before the first day of class.)

Condition: Out of the 40 students tested dur@tgdent File testing, for 1 student who had a credit balance, the University did not rentithe funds to the student within 14 days of the credit occurring and there was no eviderprovided to support that the student (or parent) authorized the University to hold the redit balance. This issue was also noted for 3 students during the Department of Education review.

Effect: Student funds were not properly handled the University maintained control of funds that should have been remitted to the eligible student.

Questioned Costs: There were no question costs to report as the finding relates only to the timing of remitting funds to a student and not related to eligibility. No exceptions related to student eligibility were noted.

Cause: The University does not appear to haveroper processes and related controls in place to ensure that funds are remitted to add within 14 days of crediting a student s account.

Recommendation: RubinBrown recommends that the University's Department of Student Financial Services review and consider revisions to their processes and related controls in place to remit funds to studentwithin 14 days of crediting the student's account.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

| Corrective Action Plan: Currently, students are required to access a link in MyCentral to read and agree to the following text: |
|--|
| |
| |
| The link is made available to students 10 daybefore the start of the semester. When the |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

Finding: 2012-8 - Significant Deficiency

Federal Award No. 84.007, 84.033, 84.038, 84.063, 84.268, 84.375, 84.379 Student Financial Aid Cluster

Criteria: According to the 2011-2012 Federatudent Aid Handbook, Volume 4, Chapter 2, For each account that containsederal Student Aid (FSA) program funds, a school must identify that FSA funds are mainitized in the account by: including the phrase Federal funds in the name of the account, potifying the bank or investment company of the account that contain FSA funds and keeping copy of this notice in its records and, except for public institutions, filing a Uniform Commercial Code Form (UCC-1) statement with the appropriate state or municipal government entity that discloses that an account contains Federal funds. The school muskeep a copy of the UCC-1 statement in its records.

Condition: The University s Perkins funds are hed in the same bank account with the University s operating funds and therefore parate reconciliations are performed monthly to verify amounts are being properly maintained. Per review of the 2011-2012 Federal Student Aid Handbook, Volume 4, Chapter 2, the practice is acceptable as long as FSA balances are readily identifiable and earningsare properly calculated per FSA balance. However, during the review completed by the OE in the current year, it was noted that the account in which Perkins Loans were beingeld was not properly identified as Federal (as stipulated in the 2011-2012 Federal Stude Aid Handbook) and/or a UCC-1 statement was not on file for these funds.

Effect: An opportunity exists for misappropriation of Perkins Fund assets due to the lack of proper identification of funds.

Questioned Costs: Unknown. During the current year, the Department of Education completed a review of the University 2010-2011 and 2011-2012 award years and similar findings. Penalties, if any, for these errors are unknown at the time of this report.

Cause: The cause of the condition upon which the audit finding is based is that the University s bank account was not labeled as @eral when it was initially opened and the University did not notify the bank that the account contained FSA funds.

Recommendation: RubinBrown recommends that the University notify the bank that the account contains Federal funds and that the University keeps a copy of the notice in its records. RubinBrown also recommends that University change the name of the bank account so that the account is labeled as Federal.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

Corrective Action Plan: Management does not concur with the finding above. In the FSA Handbook Volume 4 Processing Aid & Managing FSA Funds 2011-2012 the handbook states The requirement that a sobl file a UCC-1 statement when an account s name does not include the phrastederal funds was established to reduce the possibility that a school could misrepresent federal funds its own funds to obtain a loan, or secure credit. Because public institutions generally do not seek to obtain credit in the same manner as private institutions, they are exept from the requirement. The University does not feel it is in noncompliance. Indelition, the University s banking institution has on record and it is documented in the banking services contract that the University depository account contains both federal and the funds. The federal funds that are in question, the Perkins Loan funds, are in a spearate fund in the University s financial system and the cash disposition is readily available at any time.

Responsible Official: Toni Kreke, Sr. Director Accounting Services & Student Accounts

Expected Date Of Completion: N/A

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

Any overrides to existing fund rules must be discussed with the Director before processing to ensure we are complying with Federal regations. The total amount of Perkins loans for Fiscal Year 2012 was \$1,375,147.

Responsible Official: Angela Karlin, Director Student Financial Assistance

Date Of Completion: September 28, 2012

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

Finding: 2012-10 - Significant Deficiency

Federal Awar5E1

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

Cause: The previous Director of Student Finanial Assistance was unaware of the SAP policy requirements.

Recommendation: RubinBrown recommends that the University update the SAP policy and procedures

Corrective Action Plan: The University has updated the SAP policy and has used it for evaluating students at the end of the Sprg 2013 semester. All students, regardless of credit hours completed, are being measured based on the qualitative component of the policy, which is 2.0 cumulative GPA for undergraduate students and 3.0 for graduate students. As for the noncompliance in regardto granted SAP appeals, the University is awarding only Fall semester aid for students that are granted an appeal and have reporting mechanisms in place to reevaluatellastudents in an appeal status for continued eligibility at the end of the Fall semester 2013.

Responsible Official: Angela Karlin, Director Student Financial Assistance

Date Of Completion: Policy revised and is effective as of August 1, 2013

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

Finding: 2012-12 - Significant Deficiency

Federal Award No. 10.861 Public Television Station Digital Transition Grant

Criteria: The University is required to submitto the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Utilities Service (RUS) on a semiannual basis, 30 working days after the end of June and December, periodic performance reputs using the Standard Form Performance Program Report (SF PPR).

Condition: During the University s fiscal year 2011-2012, the June 2012 report was not submitted until October 31, 2012. The report due date was August 10, 2012 (30 working days after June 30, 2012), making the report approximately 3 months late.

Effect: RUS was not properly apprised of the orgram s performance and effectiveness, and funds could have been held for future expetitures related to the program. Because the program was completed prior to the Ju@@12 report, no future funds are expected to be received related to this program.

Questioned Costs: There were no questioned costs to report as the finding is related to the timelines of the performance report submission. The financial reports were submitted to RUS and approved by RUS on a timely bais. Invoices were properly provided as support for purchases.

Cause: The University has a system (GrantLink) in place to notify all individuals charged with reporting of due dates. A notification complete the aforementioned report was sent via email to the responsible individuals on a timely basis, however due to vacations, changes in personnel, and othecircumstances, this notification was missed and the report was not submitted until the time of the single audit work.

Recommendation: The University should put in place a control over reporting deadlines such that all responsible parties receivengoing notifications until the reports are submitted.

Corrective Action Plan: Office of Sponsored Programs (OSP)

The System Administrator for OSP is testing the systems ability to do ad-hoc reporting on prior events including re-sending notices during Program Director and/or Research Administrator transition or long-term absenced is anticipated that this report can be developed and in use before the end of the calendar year.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS For The Year Ended June 30, 2011

No Matters Are Reportable